COVID CATCH-UP FUNDING

Summary Report: November 2020

Amount Received:

£63,000 (this is inclusive of Universal Catch-Up Premium which is approximately \pounds 12,000 – This was previously allocated to children in Years 7 and 8 who had not reached 'Secondary School Ready' in their SATs)

Expenditure:

£9,000 GSCE POD. £2,000 GL Assessments £2,366 Summer School Payments £3,840 (estimate till Christmas) English 1-1 sessions from SO £8,910 Personal Tuition from Coach Bright (for 60 students with 15 sessions)

Total: £26,116

Accountability/Expectations:

Ofsted, Trustees and Governors are duty bound to hold us to account on how we plan to utilise these funds and how we are supporting children in addressing gaps and supporting their transition back into school. The EEF recognise that the role of accurate assessment is key, as is the provision of high quality feedback and support this as being most likely, the most effective approach. The EEF also recognises that everyday teaching practice must be well designed and implemented.

The EEF recommend use of a tiered approach to planning. They have provided the following check list;

Check Point	Where we are	Impact	Next Steps
Are we	We ran Summer	Children felt happy	-
confident that	School effectively	and safe to return	
we have			
identified a		Staff knew gaps in	
small number	We have run	learning	CLs continue to
of	baseline		evaluate the
implementation	assessments for		suitability of
priorities that	all pupils	Children received	programmes of study
we think we		support if they	
can		struggled	
change/do?	We amended	emotionally with the	
	the RTL structure	return	Continue to identify
	to support the		those needing
	reintegration of	Supporting more	emotional support
	students	vulnerable students	and offer emotional
			logic.
	We are running		This support will be
	small group	Parent information	extended in Jan 2021
	interventions in	evenings and staff	
	SEND/Yr 11 Maths		

	Introduction of GCSE pod	training ensured understanding Students receive feedback too irregularly	Continue to select students with CLs and HoY Monitor the use of it weekly
	We do not have whole class feedback mechanisms so that feedback occurs more often Self Assessment opportunities are too infrequent		Training will be provided in INSET on how to make instructional teaching more effective and on whole class feedback systems
How many new routines and habits do staff need to integrate into their work?	Revised curriculum planning Revised feedback mechanisms Instructional teaching delivery Increased use of dual coding	Staff have to had to adapt teaching practice significantly, they have done this but are more tired as a result.	Training has been drip fed through weekly CPD. Lesson dips have been positive. Overall practice has improved.
Are these changes feasible and sustainable?	Whole class feedback will reduce staff workload long term Dual coding is an established element of mastery GCSE Pod needs effective introduction to be successful.	Presently, staff are still marking/questioning to give f/back – increasing workload There is now use of this. Understanding is enhanced Aiding student progress and independent work	Effective training and induction needed Continue to drip feed through CPD Continue to monitor useage
Is there a clear and shared understanding of what's been implemented and how?	Curriculum leaders have been involved at all stages and have disseminated to staff	Staff understand need for changes in teaching practice and need for further interventions	Staff now need further training to consolidate understanding of what effective instructional teaching looks like and how small group tuition will work

Are we able to	Staff are	This proved	Work for X coded
respond to new	confident and	significant in our 2 nd	students needs more
challenges in	comfortable on	mini lockdown	tracking. It has a
the year EG.	zoom.	where a much	habit of dropping off
Drops in	Significant	larger no of lessons	and feedback is
attendance?	investment has	were live, resulting in	minimal. There is also
unendunce	occurred in IT to support remote learning. Virtual schooling operates and there is understanding of delivery for X coded students	Students who've not attended have continued to received maths and English tuition. Those students X coded have received	little support from tutors.

Programmes Selected:

The College signed up to the National Tutoring Programme in September. This provided access to approved organisations at a significantly reduced rate. This programme and funding is aimed at disadvantaged students only. The list of nominated providers went live in November. Of those that are available across the South West, I selected Coachbright.

Coachbright offer 1-2 and/or 1-3 online or in person tuition in KS3 and KS4 English, Maths and Science.

A 15 hour block of tuition will cost us £82.50 per student

They will work with a minimum of 20 students across Years 9 to 13 and all tutors are trained university students or recent trained graduates. They meet with their pupils for 60 minutes each week at the same time and delivery is crafted in line with the curriculum lead. I selected this group because of the rigour of their training programme for candidates. In addition, they collect attainment data before and after the programme to assess impact. They share information with the College weekly and produce an end of programme report.

They are utilised by both Ark and Ted Wragg and have been documented to add a 1/3 of a grade.

What about the remaining funding?

- We will continue to utilise Sarah Olsson as a tutor. She will offer additional support in English
- We will use the funds to pay Chris Gill and Ann Polhill (Supply Pool Staff) who will continue to offer 1-1 maths and English support
- We will use the funds to pay for the additional sessions of emotional logic to support more students with their emotional needs

• We will use the funds to pay for an external counsellor being sourced by Gemma Parker who will offer additional emotional support to students.